



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

124374

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/124374	9-20-93	Friese et al	385 1500

EXAMINER	
Reichle	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3308	29

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Exmr Reichle (3) Mr. Rothfuss
(2) Ms. Colby (4)

Date of interview 6-29-94

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description: Samples of prior art and invention

Agreement was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. was not reached.

Claims discussed: See proposed amendment

Identification of prior art discussed: Rakel, Bailex

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendment and the rejections in the Office Action were discussed. No final agreement was reached with respect to claim language. The proposed claim language does not appear to overcome the ~~some~~ prior art. Final decision is reserved until formal submission and review of response to Office Action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

Unless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action.

Karen M. Reichle

Examiner's Signature